UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,759	10/06/2006	Fabrice Pourtier	713-1265	3817
13712 7590 09/17/2010 LOWE, HAUPTMAN, HAM & BERNER, LLP (ITW) 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SHITTE 200			EXAMINER	
			OMGBA, ESSAMA	
SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/599,759	POURTIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Essama Omgba	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Ju</u>	ılv 2010					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, pane gaay, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
· <u> </u>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-12 and 14-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	e withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	s alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 3.3 diamond distribution of the definition deploy not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/6/2006. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group II, claim 13, in the reply filed on July 12, 2010 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no reason has been given as to why there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required, and that the inventions of Groups I and II do not lack unity because Group II is directed to an apparatus for carrying out the process of Group I. This is not found persuasive because searching the invention of Group II does not require a search for the invention of Group I. Clearly, consideration of additional claims drawn to one or more distinct groups of inventions in diverse categories of subject matter (products and methods) mandates different fields of search with the associated concomitant hundreds to thousands of patents and time consuming evaluation of those patents which gives rise to a sizeable burden on the examiner. Further the recitation "for carrying out the method of claim 10" is an intended use recitation. A recitation of the intended of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 3726

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if

Art Unit: 3726

the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1, "Self-expanding and self-undercutting guard rail bolt" should read --A self-expanding and self-undercutting guard rail bolt--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisher (US Patent 4,904,134) in view of Haug et al. (US 2004/0096288).

Fisher discloses a self-expanding bolt comprising a dowel 3 and a counter-dowel 1 screwed together by means of their screwing ends (col. 2, lines 46-50), the dowel comprising at its fastening end a fastening head 19 designed to be driven in rotation (col. 2, lines 59-61) and rigidly connected at its screwing end by means of incipient fracture means (groove 7) to a ring provided with expansion lugs (col. 2, lines 51-54), the counter-dowel comprising at its expansion end an expansion cone 2 and anti-rotation means 11. Although Fisher does not disclose the expansion lugs comprising

means for forming an undercut, however it is known to provide means for forming undercuts to such expansion lugs as attested by Haug et al., see protrusions 10 in figure 1 and paragraph [0010]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided the lugs of the bolt of Fisher with means for forming undercuts, in light of the teachings of Haug et al., in order to avoid forming separate undercuts in holes, thus simplifying the anchoring process. Applicant should note that the recitation "for carrying out the method of claim 10" is an intended use recitation. A recitation of the intended of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Further the anchor of Fisher could be used to anchor a guard rail.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Essama Omgba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3726

eo September 15, 2010